



Search WEB SEARCH

\$10,000 Cash Sweepstakes  

[CLICK HERE](#)

[Start a Group](#) | [My Groups](#)

FamilyRightsAdvocacyIMPROVEMENTProject · FamilyRightsAdvocacy IMPROVEMENT Project

Search for other groups... Search

- [Home](#)
- [Messages](#)
- [Attachments](#)


Members Only

- [Post](#)
- [Files](#)
- [Photos](#)
- [Links](#)
- [Database](#)
- [Polls](#)
- [Calendar](#)
- [Promote](#)
- [Groups Labs \(Beta\)](#)


Yahoo! Groups Tips

Did you know...
 Hear how Yahoo! Groups has changed the lives of others.
[Take me there.](#)

Best of Y! Groups



Check them out and nominate your group.

 [Already receiving group email?](#)



Having problems with message search? [Fill out this form](#) to ensure your group is one of the first to be migrated to the [new message search system](#).

Messages

[Messages Help](#)

Message # Go Search: Search [Advanced](#)

Repost of message # 1064 - due to Blair's disrespect.

[Message List](#)

[Reply](#) | [Forward](#)



Message #1072 of 5027 < [Prev](#) | [Next](#) >

Blair moderated re: Repost of message # 1064 - due to Blair's disrespect.

Tue Aug 1, 2006 4:16 pm

 [Show Message Option](#)

Randy Blair is obviously trying to use this group for inappropriate purposes and to forward a personal vendetta. That is NOT the purpose of this list - this list is for resolution of issues - NOT creation of more issues.

"Suzanne Shell"
 <dsshell@...>
[suzanne.shell](#)
 [Offline](#)
 [Send Email](#)

Blair is moderated for violating the rules. . .including but not limited to name calling, violating personal privacy, disrespect and abuse.

Since he's just picking a fight, and failed to employ the proper protocols which have been amply demonstrated on this forum, I decline to respond.

Suzanne

--- In [FamilyRightsAdvocacyIMPROVEMENTProject@yahoogroups.com](#), "Suzanne Shell" <dsshell@...> wrote:

>
 > RE: [FamilyRightsAdvocacyIMPROVEMENTProject] Re: Can it be true? Is THIS
 > their faithful leader?
 > Kay, From my perspective, it IS all about the Bad Advocates List.
 > Prior to me being put on it, I really had no problems with[redacted due
 > to Blair's disrespect] Suzanne [redacted due to Blair's disrespect]
 > Shell or her fawning and doting sycophants (which are, predictably and
 > understandably, diminishing). In fact, prior to her bout of
 > unprofessional "journalism" (and I would get into more of that, but it
 > would have the effect of casting pearls before swine [not you, Kay,
 > specifically] so I won't bother) in regards to me, I actually held her
 > in high regard. Anyway, many things I can forgive, for instance,
 > [redacted due to Blair's disrespect] shoddy and inept (hence,
 > inaccurate) research of me and my immediate associates and Suzanne's
 > selective enforcement of rules on this list. However, the Bad
 Advocate
 > list is not among the forgivable offenses. And there are reasons for
 > not suing her civilly, which have nothing to do with the merits of the
 > case, namely, there is nothing to recover. And I don't want a chicken
 > farm in that cesspool commonly referred to as Colorado. But for
 > those who are interested, and before I am put on moderate, like my

8/21/2009

FamilyRightsAdvocacyIMPROVEME...









> cousin Kathy (for sticking up for me and asking questions that were
 > never answered), and this post deleted and/or censored, what follows
 is
 > why I was originally put on the Bad Advocate list. And for the
 reading
 > impaired, to sum up, it is because I "called [redacted due to Blair's
 > disrespect] out" on a "public" list, not having anything at all to do
 > with my advocacy abilities or track record. Anything subsequent with
 > that was never discussed by her to me whatsoever, which is the true
 mark
 > of an unprofessional "journalist", because of its inaccuracies. As
 > far as what her husband says, well, if that were actually true (and
 > there's really no proof of that, now is there?) I think she would be
 > wise to adhere to what he says. But in her defense, and I do strive
 to
 > be fair, IF it is true (again, no proof that I've seen) that those
 whom
 > y'all unprofessionally and crassly dub "STM's" are engaging in some of
 > the behaviors cited, it is unacceptable and totally uncalled for. And
 I
 > would be one of her staunchest defenders in that regard. The
 > below-the-belt mudslinging for mudslinging's sake is really fatuous
 and
 > jejune. In closing, I'm not going to respond to anything from
 > fawning sycophants on this, so you spew and squawk in vain if your
 > intent is to get a rise or response out of me. (You have, however,
 > managed to ruffle Kath, but I'm not so easily ruffled.) And even if
 > Suzanne herself deigns to answer, which she undoubtedly will - it's in
 > her nature, it may take me a while to respond, if I bother at all, so
 > please be patient. Or not. Yours in Christ, Pastor Randy Blair
 > P.S. I was ordained in 1987, and my middle name is "S", if that helps
 > you, [REDACTED DUE TO BLAIR'S DISRESPECT]
 > Pastor Randy's response to being on the "Bad Advocate" list...
 > (reprinted from the MIvCPS website)
 >

=====
 Why

>

[Reply](#) [Forward](#)



Message #1072 of 5027 < [Prev](#) | [Next](#) >

Expand Messages	Author	Sort by Date
<p>Repost of message # 1064 - due to Blair's disrespect. RE: [Family RightsAdvocacyIMPROVEMENTProject] Re: Can it be true? Is THIS their faithful leader? Kay, From my perspective, it IS all about the Bad Advocates...</p>	<p>Suzanne Shell suzanne.shell  </p>	<p>Aug 1, 2006 4:07 pm</p>
<p>..... Blair moderated re: Repost of message # 1064 - due to Blair's disre Randy Blair is obviously trying to use this group for inappropriate purposes and to forward a personal vendetta. That is NOT the purpose of this list - this...</p>	<p>Suzanne Shell suzanne.shell  </p>	<p>Aug 1, 2006 4:18 pm</p>
<p>..... Re: Blair moderated re: Repost of message # 1064 - due to Blair's d I hope Im not to be considered a person picking a fight. I will assume Randy is dredging up old unresolvable news. Corinne</p>	<p>Corinne cemerwin  </p>	<p>Aug 1, 2006 4:23 pm</p>
<p>..... Re: Blair moderated re: Repost of message # 1064 - due to Blair's d Corinne I raised an issue which was, in effect, a continuation of the subject of advocates harassment and stalking other advocates - obviously I have been a...</p>	<p>Suzanne Shell suzanne.shell  </p>	<p>Aug 1, 2006 4:50 pm</p>

8/21/2009

FamilyRightsAdvocacyIMPROVEME...

..... **Re: Blair moderated re: Repost of message # 1064 - due to Blair's d**
Well, if he has issues, he hasn't presented them according to our protocols,
he hasn't included the proof, and he hasn't acted respectfully. In fact, I feel
a...

Suzanne Shell Aug 3, 2006
suzanne.shell 7:57 pm
 

< Prev Topic | Next Topic >

Message # Go Search: Search [Advanced](#)

SPONSOR RESULTS

[family dental](#)

[Braces.org](#) - Get a smile that's good for life. Find more info on orthodontics.

[Family Dental Care](#)

[www.1800dentist.com/Detroit](#) - Search for Local Family Dentists. Call Us to Find a Dentist to Help.

[Family Vacation Deals](#)

[www.Travelzoo.com](#) - Find Great Family Vacation Deals at Travelzoo.com! Why Wait?

Copyright © 2009 Yahoo! Inc. All rights reserved.
[Privacy Policy](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Copyright Policy](#) - [Guidelines](#) - [Help](#)